Evaluación comparativa de dos métodos de recuperación espermática de epididímos bovinos post-mortem
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RESUMEN

Determinar el método adecuado para recuperar espermatozoides epididimarios en bovinos en diferentes tiempos de recuperación post-mortem fue el objetivo del presente estudio. Testículos de 50 toros de diferentes razas y edades, en buen estado sanitario, fueron obtenidos luego del sacrificio en la plaza de rastro de Loja, Ecuador; evaluándose dos métodos de recolección seminal: lavado retrógrado y slicing. Los testículos/epididimis fueron transportados en solución de cloruro de sodio al 0.9% y almacenados durante 2, 4, 8, 12 y 24 horas, a 5°C, evaluando calidad espermática en relación al tiempo transcurrido desde su sacrificio, hasta la obtención espermática en el laboratorio. Los parámetros evaluados fueron: volumen, concentración, vitalidad, motilidad masal, motilidad individual, y espermatozoides normales. En el análisis de resultados se utilizó estadística descriptiva y para el análisis de varianza se utilizó el test de Tukey para determinar diferencias estadísticas entre promedios. Los espermatozoides epididimarios mostraron: motilidad masal 60.4%±4.75; motilidad individual 50.7%±4.75; vitalidad 60.6%±3.85; anormalidades 8.78%±1.4; no hubo diferencia significativa (p>0.05) entre protocolos, recogiendo volúmenes promedios de 2.2±05 ml, y concentración de 63.08%±2.05, el porcentaje de espermatozoides vivos fue mayor utilizando el método de lavado retrógrado 62.08±4.2. Concluyéndose que es posible recolectar espermatozoides vivos de la cola del epidídimo de toros postmortem siendo su vitalidad directamente proporcional al tiempo de almacenamiento.
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ABSTRACT

Determining the appropriate method to recover epididymal spermatozoa in bovines at different post-mortem recovery times was the objective of the present study. Testicles of 50 bulls of different breeds and ages, in good sanitary condition, were obtained after the slaughter in the animal commercialization square of Loja, Ecuador; evaluating two methods of seminal collection: retrograde flushing and slicing. The testes/epididymis were transported in 0.9% sodium chloride solution and stored for 2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 hours at 5 °C, evaluating sperm quality in relation to the time elapsed from slaughter to sperm production in the laboratory. The evaluated parameters were: mass motility, individual motility, percentage of viable sperm, and normal. In the analysis of the results descriptive statistics were used and for the variance analysis Tukey test were used to determine the statistical differences between averages. The epididymal spermatozoa showed: mass motility 60.4% ± 4.75; individual motility 50.7% ± 4.75; vitality 60.6% ± 3.85; abnormalities 8.78% ± 1.4; there is no significant difference (p>0.05) between protocols, getting average volumes of 2.2±05 ml, concentration of 63.08% ± 2.05, the percentage of living sperm was more when the method of retrograde wash was used. It is concluded that is possible collect living sperm from the post-mortem epididymis bull’s tail, being its vitality directly proportional to the storage time.
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INTRODUCTION

The collection of bovine semen through the use of conventional methods such as artificial vagina and electroejaculation has allowed the establishment of germplasm banks from selected breeding males; however, when a bull or other animal dies unexpectedly, its genetic material is lost. However, recovering sperm from a recently killed or slaughtered male implies that there is an interest in using their gametes for the purpose of getting offspring at some point. One way to preserve the germplasm of these animals is to collect sperm from the epididymis (Martins et al., 2009). This post-mortem procedure is considered an important tool in the use of spermatozoa of animals in danger of extinction.

Spermatozoa collected from the epididymis can be cryopreserved or used immediately in vitro fertilization (Martins et al., 2007) or intracytoplasmic injection into oocytes (James et al., 2002); this circumstance may occur in unpredictable events such as accidents, poisonings or diseases that can suddenly trigger orchiectomy, death or euthanasia of bulls of genetic or sentimental value (Armas et al., 2011). In these cases, owners should not only confront the loss of the animal, but also the loss of desirable genetic material.

In this sense, it is possible to obtain spermatozoa until a certain time after the animal death, which are recovered from the tail of the epididymis with motility and fertilizing capacity (Yu and Leibo, 2002); this is mainly due to the fact that two major events occur in the epididymis: maturation and sperm storage. The maturation or progressive development of the fertilizing capacity of the spermatozoa occurs in the head and the body of the epididymis and the storage occurs in the tail of the same. Thus, the production of potentially fertilizing epididymal spermatozoa that are stored in the tail of the epididymis may be the only option to preserve the genetic material of a male of high genetic value, after his death or medical orchiectomy (Tittarelli et al., 2007).

The main site of sperm storage in the male reproductive tract is the tail of the epididymis. This part has a relatively broad light in which high concentrations of sperm are stored. A functional disorder of the epididymis can lead to an abnormal composition of the epididymis plasma, decreased motility of abnormal spermatozoa and clinical spermatozoa (Oyeyemi and Ubiogoro, 2005).

It is important to establish a method for collecting spermatozoa from breeding stallions that have died from natural causes or accidents, so that offspring can be obtained from these bulls. Thus, the spermatozoa collected from the tail of the epididymis could be implemented to propagate the genetic quality of post-mortem bulls, since the spermatozoa found there have a fertilizing capacity (Soler et al., 2005).
Due to the aforementioned and taking into account the importance of the spermatozoa recovery that would be lost by the death of the animals, the objective of the present work is based on the spermatozoa obtained from the epididymis tail of post-mortem bulls to evaluate their viability; as well as to evaluate the protocols of retrograde flushing and slicing of the epididymis to obtain semen; evaluating the sperm viability according to protocols of collection from the obtaining of the testicles in the animal commercialization square, until obtaining the spermatozoa in the laboratory; assessing the period of conservation in refrigeration in order to determine the protocol or more efficient collection method of epididymal spermatozoa.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Place of study and animals**

For the present study, a total of 50 pairs of bull testicles diagnosed healthy on the ante-mortem examination, of different racial types and ages, were used in the Municipal slaughterhouse of Loja canton, while the processing of the samples was carried out in the Laboratory of Animal Reproduction, located in Punzara Experimental Farm of the National University of Loja, Ecuador.

**Collection and transport of samples**

The testicles were collected from 50 bulls of different races and aged between two and four years, during 10 visits to Municipal slaughterhouse of Loja. The steps followed for the collection of the testicles were: collection of scrotal sacs with their testicles included; each scrotal sac was identified with the number corresponding to the order in which they were collected, in addition to the date and time of collection; each scrotal sac was wiped with paper towels, the testes/epididymis were ligated into the spermatic cord; each was placed in sealed and identified plastic bags and then transported from the collection site to the laboratory within a maximum of 30 minutes at an initial temperature of 35 °C. To achieve this temperature was used thermal bags with tempered water at 37 ºC.

Once in the laboratory, each testicle was placed in a Petri dish, where an external wash of the epididymis tail was performed with physiological saline solution. To remove blood and external contaminants, the connective tissue that covers the tail was removed by careful dissection, avoiding the rupture of the blood vessels and the epididymal duct; the testes were then separated from the epididymides and washed with saline; a dissection of the epididymis tail was performed, removing the tunica albuginea, thus leaving a free portion of the vas deferens. Then the secretion of the same was obtained according to each method of collection studied, ie: collection of retrograde flow and slicing of the epididymis (Slicing), proceeding as follows:
First method (retrograde flow collection)

The epididymis, already separated from the testis, was placed in a Petri dish, with ringer lactate preheated at 37 °C, for the purpose of washing blood residues; then the nearest portion of the mid-tail of the epididymis was located to make a cross-section with the scalpel, before the diameter of the epididymis was reduced. In order to obtain as much spermatozoa as possible, a needle (20 gauge, 21, 22, or 23, according to the internal diameter of each vas deferens) was placed into the lumen of the free portion of the vas deferens. A syringe was fitted with 10-15 ml of "PBS washing medium" at 37 °C with a clamp being placed against the needle on the walls of the vas deferens, thereby preventing the loss of the wash liquid by reflux. The fluid obtained with epididymal spermatozoa was centrifuged at (300g/5min) to concentrate the sample. The supernatant obtained was removed and discarded, at a concentration of 1: 1 at 37 °C.

Second method (slicing of the epididymis)

The epididymis was aseptically separated from the testis, placed in a Petri dish with lactated ringer at 37 °C, to wash away blood residues. Spermatozoa were recovered by the slicing method, with a surgical scissors in a Petri dish, containing 15 ml of PBS medium at 37 °C; this content was sucked into a 20 ml syringe. To be purified through a sterile filter; it was transferred to falcon tubes (15 ml), and then centrifuged at a rate of (300g/5min). The obtained supernatant was removed and discarded; and a pre-dilution with AndroMed in a ratio of 1: 1 at 37 °C was performed.

Preparation of the diluent

2 ml of AndroMed was poured into a graduated falcon tube, 8 ml of doubly distilled water, tempered at 37 °C, was added to the concentrate and mixed with the aid of the vortex (mechanical stirrer) until the dilution was homogenized. This dilution was performed for each sample individually, the same one that should be prepared in a water bath between 35 and 37 °C; then the pre-dilution of the semen was performed in a 1: 1 ratio; for this the diluent should have the same temperature as the sperm. After the semen evaluation, the final dilution was immediately carried out, which consisted of 2 ml of prediluted semen plus 8 ml of the prepared diluent. This final dilution must progressively decrease temperature until the laboratory temperature (18 to 22 °C) is reached. Subsequently the temperature is lowered with cooled water (8-10 °C), the temperature must be equilibrated for at least 1 hour, then cooled to 5 °C.
Microscopic evaluation of the seminal samples

To perform the microscopic evaluation it was necessary to wait between 40 and 45 minutes in order to observe the movements of the epididymal spermatozoa, during which time the seminal samples and the material used in a water bath at 37 °C were maintained; after this time it was possible to estimate the percentages of motile and progressive movements of the sperm cells; 5% eosin and 10% nigrosin were used for the staining and smearing of the samples; the microscopic evaluation was done with a 40X and 100X lens. The following characteristics were analyzed: volume, concentration, morphology, vitality, mass motility and individual motility.

a) - Mass motility: a drop of semen of 10 to 20 μl was placed, on an object holder tempered at 37 °C, without placing the covers objects; it is observed with the 10X and 40X lens in a biological binocular microscope XSP63, the percentage of mass motility. It was graded according to the evaluative criteria proposed in table 1.

Table 1. Percentage of mass motility and evaluative criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTIVE VALUE</th>
<th>ASPECT OF THE MODEL</th>
<th>% MOTILE CELLS</th>
<th>EVALUATIVE CRITERIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Movement in vigorous waves and rapid eddies</td>
<td>80-90%</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Eddies and slower waves</td>
<td>60-80%</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>No eddies, but with generalized oscillations</td>
<td>40-60%</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>Poor or no motility</td>
<td>0-40%</td>
<td>+ o -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted of: Derivaux (1976), Hafez (1989) and Baracaldo (2007)

b) - Individual Motility: 10μl of semen was placed in an object holder and covered with covered objects, both tempered at 37°C, observed with the 40X lens, spermatozoa were evaluated with progressive rectilinear movement through the observed field; both in the mass motility and for the individual one. For the evaluation the thermal template is placed in the microscope so as to maintain the temperature. To determine the percentage of individual motility was scored according to the values proposed in table 2 (Chamba Ochoa, 2017).

Table 2. Scale based on the percentage of motile cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptive values</th>
<th>% motile cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>80-100% of motile cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>60-79% of motile cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>40-59% of motile cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>Less than 40% of motile cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted of Salisbury (1978), Hafez (1989) and Barth (2000).
c) **Sperm concentration:** to determine sperm concentration, a 1:200 dilution was performed, ie 10 μl of pure semen was mixed in 2 ml of formalin saline solution; (0.9% sodium chloride, 0.1% formaldehyde in distilled water) and then the solution was homogenized (Chamba Ochoa, 2017). With the help of the vortex the sample was homogenized, after which 10μl of the dilution was placed in the Neubauer chamber; and then took the camera under the microscope where it was observed with the 40X lens.

For counting, the sperm heads observed in 5 tables were counted from the large central table and the following formula was applied.

\[
CE \text{ ml} = n \times 200 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1000
\]

where:

- \(n\) = Number of cells counted
- 200 = Dilution Factor
- 10 = Camera height of 0.1
- 5 = number of squares counted
- 1000 = Conversion to cm³

The result obtained from the sperm concentration was in millions/ml

d) **Morphology:** to perform the morphological evaluation, a total of 100 sperm per sample was evaluated, in order to determine the percentage of abnormalities. Vital staining of 5% eosin and 10% nigrosin, one drop of 10 μl of nigrosin, one drop of 20 μl of eosin and one drop of semen of 10 μl were used on a tempered slide at 37 °C; allowed to set for 10 to 30 seconds; with a drop of the mixture being made a thick spread in dry sheet; a drop of immersion oil was then placed and the percentage of live and dead sperm per 100-field clear field microscopy was determined. At least 200 cells were evaluated, differentiating those that were partially or totally stained from those that had not allowed the passage of the dye; the result was expressed as the percentage of non-stained spermatozoa which were considered alive (Soler et al., 2005).

To determine the state of the acrosomes, the same fixed sample was used, observing a minimum of 200 spermatozoa. Normal acrosomes were classified as having spermatozoa with well-defined and with well-defined and sharp dark crescent shaped apical edges. Results were expressed as percent of normal acrosomes.

The process should not last more than a minute, since it has been shown that sperms that were alive at death are stained over time; in the dead sperm the permeability of the
cephalic membrane is altered and the dye is allowed to pass, while the living do not allow it, the dying are stained in the tail.

e) **Vitality:** in the evaluation of the vitality percentage was counted a number of 100 spermatozoa per sample. In order to perform the sperm spread, we also placed a drop of nigrosine and two drops of eosin on the end of an object holder, and with the help of another tempered object holder, the sample is spread, which must be thin and uniform; let dry for a minute, place a drop of oil immersion and take the microscopic to observe with the lens of 100X. Living sperm were not stained, whereas non-viable or dead sperm became pink.

**Post cooling evaluation**

The evaluation of individual post-refrigeration motility was performed, each group studied (2, 4, 8, 10, 24 hours). The evaluation of the seminal quality was done in fresh and every 24 hours after refrigeration for 5 days; of the sample refrigerated at 5 °C was taken, 0.5 ml of semen diluted in an eppendorf tube, which was tempered at 37 °C for 3 minutes in a water bath; the tube was then removed from the water bath, dried with a paper towel, then 10μl of semen was taken and placed in the container and tempered and placed the coverslip; this evaluation was done on the thermal plate (Chamba Ochoa, 2017).

**Statistical analysis**

For the analysis of data, the statistical program IBM SPSS Statistics 2.0 was used. For the description of the data were used averages and the standard deviation of each group studied, in cases where the information obtained corresponded to percentages, these were transformed according to the binomial model of parameters. All data were assessed for normality by the Shapiro-Wilk test. The variables that did not pass in the normality test (non-parametric data) were evaluated by the ANOVA on Ranks test. The variables that passed the normality test (parametric data) were evaluated by the One Way ANOVA test. When the ANOVA indicated a significant effect, the values were compared by applying Tukey's mean comparison test. Finally, the Pearson test was performed to establish the correlation between the measured variables. The level of significance was considered P <0.05 (SPSS/PC, 2012).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Morphometric characteristics of testis and epididymis**

In the study of the morphometric and functional characteristics of the testes/epididymis and recovered spermatozoa, through the use of two methods of sperm retrieval of
epididymis of bulls slaughtered in the Camal refrigerator of Loja; as part of the descriptive analysis, an average of 33.81 ± 5.9 cm was determined for scrotal circumference; 11.94 ± 5.8 cm for testicular length; 6.1 ± 4.4 cm for testicular width and 580 ± 50 g of testicular weight; 62.76 ± 4.2 g for epididymis weight and 17.28 ± 6.3 cm epididymis length. Data that relate to those indicated by Saavedra et al., (2012), where it indicates that the weight of each testicle is around 250 to 500 g, the testicular length between 11 and 17 cm and the width between 5 to 8 cm, likewise (Sudheer 2000), obtained averages of 31.80 cm for scrotal circumference, 11.36 cm for testicular length; 5.56 cm for testicular width or depth; and 267.12 g for the weight of each of the testicles; 1.96 cm in length of the epididymis tail and 30.06 g for the weight of the epididymal tail. Also, (Rodríguez et al., 2000), obtained averages for scrotal circumference of 31.25 cm, weight of epididymal tail 32 g and 16.63 cm for the length of the epididymis. The testes were evaluated within the scrotal pockets, measuring scrotal circumference, length, width and testicular weight; as well as length and weight of the epididymis.

**Comparative study of the methods of seminal collection of post-mortem epididymis (0 hours)**

Using the retrograde flow technique was collected, (Table 4) on average 2.0 ± 0.5 ml with a concentration/ml of 64.04 ± 1.3 x 10⁹ spermatozoa/animal, and using the slicing technique of the epididymis (Table 5). An average of 2.2 ± 0.4 ml was collected with a concentration/ml of 62.12 ± 2.8 x 10⁹ spermatozoa/animal, corresponding to the number of cells obtained in an ejaculation (Gonçalves et al., 2008). Considering that the epididymis is capable of storing several ejaculations, the quantity obtained could be higher however; the bulls used came from a slaughtering plant, where reproductive quality is not a considered index (table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluated parameters</th>
<th>Semen obtained by retrograde flushing</th>
<th>Semen obtained by slicing the epididymis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume cc.</td>
<td>2 ± 0,5</td>
<td>2,2 ± 0,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass motility %</td>
<td>61,6m ± 5,3ᵃ</td>
<td>59,2± 4,2ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual motility %</td>
<td>51,8± 3,8ᵃ</td>
<td>49,6± 5,7ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live spermatozoa %</td>
<td>62,08± 4,2ᵃ</td>
<td>59,12± 3,5ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperm concentration x10⁹/ml</td>
<td>64,04± 1,3ᵃ</td>
<td>62,12± 2,8ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal spermatozoa %</td>
<td>7,92± 1,2ᵇ</td>
<td>9,64± 1,6ᵃ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ᵃᵇ) Means with a common letter are not significantly different (p> 0.05)
Table 5. Results of the microscopic evaluation of the semen obtained by retrograde flushing in different postmortem times (slicing).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage time</th>
<th>Mass Motility %</th>
<th>Individual Motility %</th>
<th>Live spermatozoa %</th>
<th>Sperm concentration x10⁹/ml</th>
<th>Abnormal spermatozoa %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2h</td>
<td>86±6,1</td>
<td>76±5,9</td>
<td>86±3</td>
<td>69±2</td>
<td>7,4±1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4h</td>
<td>76±5,7</td>
<td>66±4,7</td>
<td>76±4,6</td>
<td>67±1,8</td>
<td>8,2±2,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8h</td>
<td>56±5,5</td>
<td>47±4,4</td>
<td>63,4±3,7</td>
<td>56,2±1,5</td>
<td>8±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10h</td>
<td>48±4,2</td>
<td>41±4</td>
<td>51±2,2</td>
<td>62,8±4</td>
<td>6,6±2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>42±3,4</td>
<td>29±3,6</td>
<td>34±2</td>
<td>65,2±2</td>
<td>9,4±2,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was decided to use the average/animal, since according to (Goovaerts et al., 2006) one epididymis cannot be control of the other, since there are significant differences in the quantity and quality of the cells collected from the epididymis of the same animal.

The fresh sperm samples presented superior results in all the parameters compared to the results of the evaluation with refrigerated semen.

**Semen evaluation obtained by retrograde flushing**

Sperm motility is essential for the sperm to reach the uterine environment and the fertilization site, being considered the most important criterion in the evaluation of sperm cells before and after cryopreservation (Siqueira et al., 2007).

In the present study microscopic characteristics presented similar percentage motile of mass motility within each group, at two post-mortem hours of 86 ± 6.1% (Table 5), for the retrograde (P1) method and 84 ± 4% (table 6), in the method of epididymis slicing (P2), similar to those obtained by Chavez (2008), who obtained 90% of mass motility in Lidia bulls, considered to be a very good descriptive value. At four hours post-mortem, 76 ± 5.7% (P1) and 72 ± 3.8% (P2) were obtained, with a good descriptive value. At 56 hours post-mortem, 56 ± 5.5% (P1) and 54 ± 3.5% (P2) were obtained, and at 10h and 24h a mean mass motility of 40 to 48% with a regular descriptive value. These descriptive values are in accordance with the reference values presented by Baracaldo (2007), who indicate very good descriptive values of 80-90%, good of 60-79%, regular of 40-59% and bad less than 40%.

Regarding the individual motility of fresh spermatozoa collected from the epididymis at two hours of storage, it was elevated, 76 ± 5.9% (table 5), with retrograde flow technique and 74 ± 5% (table 6). technique of slicing the epididymis; which can be considered within normal limits for the species, (Melo et al., 2008); values slightly below the 80% found by Chavez (2008); (P1) and 64 ± 4.3% (P2), data similar to those obtained by Sánchez et al.
(2010), who performed a study to 28 post-mortem Lydia bulls, obtained means of 60 ± 6.1% of individual motility, with a good descriptive value; in the third group at 8 hours post-mortem presented 47 ± 4.4% (P1) and 46 ± 3.9% (P2) regular; and at 10h and 24h post-mortem values were obtained of 28 and 41% respectively; giving a poor descriptive value as reported by Barth et al. (2000).

Individual motile readings that were made for both the retrograde flushing protocol and the epididymal slicing protocol did not maintain a uniform pattern; this could be related to the variability between slaughter bulls, non-breeding bulls, and even more so in this study in which we do not know the specific origin of these males destined for consumption and that we understand did not have an adequate diet, which could have affected in some way their reproductive capacity (Albers and Barrios 2006).

**Post-refrigeration semen quality at different evaluation times**

The percentage of individual motility of the spermatozoa obtained by retrograde washing and slicing of the epididymis (slicing), after being refrigerated, the samples were evaluated every 24 h, within each method of collection (Table 6, 7 and 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection time</th>
<th>Mass Motility</th>
<th>Individual Motility</th>
<th>Live spermatozoa</th>
<th>Sperm concentration x10⁹/ml</th>
<th>Abnormal spermatozoa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2h</td>
<td>84±4</td>
<td>74±5</td>
<td>82,6±2</td>
<td>67±0,5</td>
<td>9,4±1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4h</td>
<td>72±3,8</td>
<td>64±4,3</td>
<td>72,2±1,8</td>
<td>67±0,3</td>
<td>8±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8h</td>
<td>54±3,5</td>
<td>46±3,9</td>
<td>57,6±1,5</td>
<td>56,2±1</td>
<td>10,6±0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10h</td>
<td>46±3,4</td>
<td>36±4</td>
<td>49,2±3</td>
<td>58,6±2</td>
<td>9,8±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>40±4,6</td>
<td>28±3,2</td>
<td>34±3,5</td>
<td>61,8±3</td>
<td>10,4±1,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Results of the microscopic evaluation of semen obtained by slicing the epididymis at different postmortem times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of seminal evaluation</th>
<th>Percentage of Individual Motility</th>
<th>Post-mortem storage time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>69±5,8</td>
<td>2 hours 55±4,3 4 hours 37±3,5 8 hours 25±2,7 10 hours 0 24 hours 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48h</td>
<td>57±4,6</td>
<td>2 hours 45±4 4 hours 22±2,6 8 hours 0 10 hours 0 24 hours 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72h</td>
<td>46±4,5</td>
<td>2 hours 34±3,6 4 hours 0 8 hours 0 10 hours 0 24 hours 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96h</td>
<td>37±3,9</td>
<td>2 hours 22±2,4 4 hours 0 8 hours 0 10 hours 0 24 hours 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120h</td>
<td>26±3,5</td>
<td>2 hours 8±1 4 hours 0 8 hours 0 10 hours 0 24 hours 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Percentage of Single Motility evaluated every 24 hours, post cooling semen obtained by retrograde flushing.
The percentage of live spermatozoa, determined at 2h 86 ± 3% (P1) and 82.66 ± 2% (P2); (P1) and 72.2 ± 1.8% (P2), data related to those obtained by Chávez M. (2008), who in their study determined 70 and 80%; similar values found by Morillo et al. (2012), who said that the minimum acceptable percentage for live spermatozoa should reach 70%.

Barrios (2002), reported a sperm concentration of 40 to 72 x 10^9 spz/ml in the present study we determined 56.2 to 69 x 10^9 espz/ml, higher values than the previous ones and those found by Rodríguez (2000), who reported 15 to 40 x 10^9 esp/ml. However, Castro et al. (2009) found averages of spermatozoa collected from the tail of the epididymis of 1.7 x 10^9 esrz / ml, with a minimum of 0.26 x 10^9 spz / ml and a maximum of 4.2 x 10^9 / ml. In other studies, Sánchez et al. (2010) obtained a spermatov concentration of 380.5x10^9 spz/ml values lower than those obtained in the present study and that of Chávez (2008), who reported of 600 to 1800x10^6 spz/ml.

As for morphology, the percentage of abnormal spermatozoa was 7.92 ± 1.2%, for semen obtained by retrograde flushing and 9.64 ± 1.6% for the semen obtained by the epididymis slicing, Barth (2000) and Anel et al. (2002) confirm that the total of abnormalities should not exceed 30%; suggesting that when there are few abnormalities it is sufficient to count 100 spermatozoa and when we find large numbers it is advisable to count 300 or more.

Castro et al., (2009) studied the viability of bull spermatozoa, collected from epididymis refrigerated at 4 °C, 24 hours after slaughter and found results similar to that of semen ejaculated. However, in this study the best results were obtained in groups one and two, within the protocols evaluated; at 24 h, a viability was determined within protocol one (P1) 69 ± 5.8% (G1) and 55 ± 4.3% (G2); 57 ± 4.6% (G1) and 45 ± 4% (G2), in protocol 2 (P2) at 48 h; groups 3,4 and 5 did not present individual motility.

Table 8. Percentage of Individual Motility evaluated every 24 hours after cooling of the semen obtained by the epididymis slicing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of seminal evaluation</th>
<th>Percentage of Individual Motility</th>
<th>Post-mortem storage time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>64±4,7</td>
<td>54±2,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48h</td>
<td>52±4</td>
<td>44±3,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72h</td>
<td>41±3,7</td>
<td>32±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96h</td>
<td>30±3,5</td>
<td>16±1,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120h</td>
<td>20±2,4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Martins et al. (2009) determined that after 48 hours of refrigeration storage, there is a total decrease in motility, which is in agreement with the results obtained in this work, as confirmed by Anel (2002). Depending on the interval between sperm recovery and death, sperm motility will decrease significantly between 48 hours.

The results showed that there is no significant statistical difference between methods of sperm collection on the spermatozoa viability. Despite this, the viability results were twice the sperm mortality, indicating that the epididymis spermatozoa, after cooling, behave in the same way as the ejaculates (Celeghini et al., 2008), in which immobile sperm can still be viable (Chavez, 2008).

CONCLUSION

The methods of collection used were efficient and repeatable, confirming that it is possible to collect live spermatozoa from the epididymis tail of postmortem bulls. It can be inferred that these methods do not influence the quantity and quality of epididymal spermatozoa. The samples obtained by the retrograde lavage protocol achieved better results than the epididymal splitting protocol (slicing), although these are not significantly different, and should be emphasized that they are remarkable data, since the literature reviewed does not mention work done by this process; rather they only describe it as a method for obtaining postmortem epididymal spermatozoa. The sperm vitality is directly proportional to the storage time, the best results were in group one, whose collection was carried out at 2h post mortem, showed good survival, although they have less mobility than those of bovine semen collected by conventional methods. The best preservation period in refrigeration of epididymal semen collected between 2 and 4h post mortem is between 24 and 48h; after this period, individual motility drops significantly; being possible to recover and cryopreservation of epididymis sperm from this material with any protocol selected; which is a promising technique for conserving genetic resources, which has attracted great interest in the case of some animals of high genetic value that have died suddenly.
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